

MODELED GAMES AND PAY TABLE GENERATION AND EVALUATION THEREFOR

Background

This application relates to gaming machines and, in particular, to video gaming machines of the type which simulate real physical games. The application relates in particular to techniques for operating such video gaming machines and for developing pay tables therefor.

A number of physical games involve the movement of one or more objects on a play field. Such games may include pinball, pachinko, roulette, craps and the like. Each of these games is initiated by placing an object in motion in accordance with a set of initial conditions and proceeding to one of a plurality of outcomes corresponding, respectively, to final resting conditions of the object or objects.

In pachinko and pinball, the object is a ball which is launched onto a play field with a particular velocity in a particular direction and, perhaps, with a particular spin. These initial conditions determine the initial trajectory of the ball. The trajectory and velocity of the ball are changed en route by obstacles in the play field, such as pins or pegs or flippers or the like. Plural balls may simultaneously be traveling along the play field, particularly in pachinko. The fundamental difference between pachinko and pinball is that, in pachinko, the trajectory-changing obstacles in the play field are fixed and passive, whereas in pinball they may be movable and active and, indeed, may be player-controllable. In a game such as roulette, which is played with a single ball, the play field itself, which includes a rotatable roulette wheel, is

movable relative to a fixed reference, in addition to the ball being movable relative to the play field, both the ball and the wheel being placed into motion by a croupier. In craps, the object is a cubical die with numbered faces, the game being initiated by a player manually casting a pair of dice onto the play field. In games such as pachinko and craps, wherein plural objects simultaneously occupy the play field, the routes of the objects are complicated by the fact that they can collide with each other. The details of play of these games will be well understood by those of ordinary skill in the gaming arts. In such real physical games, there is no need for a pay table to determine the outcome or the win amount. Rather, they rely on actual physical resting conditions of the objects. However, in an electronic version of such games on a video platform, a method of producing and reliably evaluating a pay table is required.

Prior video gaming machines which simulate such real physical games as those described above have provided a storage medium which stores a plurality of “plays” of the game, such as a plurality of different object routes from a starting condition to a final outcome. Win amounts are assigned to each of these stored “plays”. A play is initiated by a player “depositing” a wager amount and activating the machine, which then randomly selects one of the stored object routes and displays it on a video display and then awards the player the corresponding win amount. The display may include a background display of the play field, as well as a superimposed display of the randomly selected object route. The object routes could be stored, for example, by videotaping actual plays of physical games and storing them in analog or digital form. A drawback of this approach is that the number of object routes which can be stored is rather limited. Thus, during an extended player session at the machine, a particular route, and therefore,

outcome, may occur more than once, seriously detracting from the randomness and, therefore, the realism of the game.

Summary

This application relates to video gaming machines and methods of operating such machines which avoid the disadvantages of prior video gaming techniques while affording additional structural and operating advantages.

An important aspect is the provision of a video gaming machine of the type which simulates a real physical game, and which more realistically simulates the randomness of the real physical game.

Another aspect is the provision of a video gaming machine which is based on a mathematical model of a real physical game.

Another aspect is the provision of a method for accurately developing a pay table for a modeled game on a video gaming platform.

Certain ones of these and other aspects may be obtained by providing a method of operating a video gaming machine which simulates a real physical game initiated by placing an object in motion in accordance with a set of initial conditions and proceeding to one of a plurality of outcomes corresponding respectively to final resting conditions of the object, the method comprising: establishing in software a mathematical model of the game including a plurality of rules governing movement of the object once it is placed in motion, establishing a range of possible values for each of a plurality of parameters, randomly selecting for each parameter a value from its associated range of values to establish the set of initial conditions, running the set

10
0
2
0
2
0
0
0
0
0

of initial conditions through the model for simulating movement of the object to a final resting condition to determine the outcome, and displaying the simulated movement of the object.

Further aspects may be attained by providing a method of operating a video gaming machine which simulates a real physical game initiated by placing an object in motion in accordance with a set of initial conditions and proceeding along a route to one of a plurality of outcomes corresponding respectively to final resting conditions of the object, the method comprising: determining a finite collection of points on a play field including a route starting point and at least one route end point corresponding to a final resting condition and a finite collection of possible paths of the object from one point to another such that each point except route end points may have one or more paths leading away from it, assigning a probability of occurrence to each path and to each point, randomly selecting a path from among the paths leading away from the route starting point in accordance with their probabilities of occurrence, causing the object to traverse the selected path to the point it leads to, then randomly selecting a path from among the paths starting at the point at which the object is currently located in accordance with their probabilities of occurrence, then repeating the preceding two steps until a route end point is reached, and displaying the simulated movement of the object from route starting point to the route end point.

Still other aspects may be attained by providing a video gaming machine which simulates a real physical game initiated by placing an object in motion in accordance with a set of initial conditions and proceeding to one of a plurality of outcomes corresponding respectively to final resting conditions of the object, the gaming machine comprising: a player input device for

H
O
D
S
E
B
U
L
D
S
C
H

activating the game, a display device, a processor operating under control of a stored program and responsive to the input device for controlling the display device, a memory device coupled to the processor and storing a mathematical model of the game including a plurality of rules governing movement of the object once it is placed in motion, and a pay table of win amounts respectively corresponding to different outcomes, and a payout mechanism, the processor program including a first routine responsive to a player input for randomly determining an origin state and running it through the model for simulating movement of the object to a final resting condition to determine an outcome and controlling the display device to display the simulated movement of the object, and a second routine for determining from the pay table a win amount corresponding to the outcome and actuating the payout mechanism to award that amount to the player.

Still other aspects may be attained by providing a method of developing a pay table for a video gaming machine which simulates a real physical game initiated by placing an object in motion in accordance with a set of initial conditions and proceeding to one of a plurality of outcomes corresponding respectively to final resting conditions of the object, the method comprising: establishing in software a mathematical model of the game including a plurality of rules governing movement of the object once it is placed in motion, creating a list of outcomes, assigning a probability of occurrence to each outcome, assigning a win amount to each outcome, and determining a pay table percentage by multiplying each outcome's probability of occurrence by its win amount and summing the products for all of the outcomes in the list.

Brief Description of the Drawings

For the purpose of facilitating an understanding of the subject matter sought to be protected, there are illustrated in the accompanying drawings embodiments thereof, from an inspection of which, when considered in connection with the following description, the subject matter sought to be protected, its construction and operation, and many of its advantages should be readily understood and appreciated.

Fig. 1 is a simplified front elevational view of a video gaming machine;

Fig. 2 is a functional block diagrammatic illustration of the video gaming machine of Fig. 1;

Fig. 3 is a simplified diagrammatic illustration of a pachinko game play field;

Fig. 4 is a simplified flow chart of an embodiment of a pay table development program routine;

Fig. 5 is a simplified flow chart of a program routine for game play with a pay table developed in accordance with Fig. 4;

Fig. 6 is a simplified flow chart of a program routine for another embodiment of play table development;

Fig. 7 is a simplified flow chart of game play using a pay table developed in accordance with Fig. 6;

Fig. 8 is a simplified diagrammatic illustration of a pachinko play field showing another embodiment of game play;

Fig. 9 is a simplified flow chart of a program routine for another embodiment of pay table

development;

Fig. 10 is a simplified flow chart of a program routine for determining the distribution of outcomes in connection with the flow chart of Fig. 9;

Fig. 11 is a simplified flow chart of game play using a pay table developed in accordance with Figs. 9 and 10;

Fig. 12 is a simplified flow chart of a program routine for another embodiment of pay table development;

Fig. 13 is a simplified flow chart of a game play using a pay table developed in accordance with Fig. 12; and

Fig. 14 is a simplified flow chart of another embodiment of game play utilizing a pay table developed in accordance with a combination of Figs. 9, 10 and 13.

Detailed Description

Referring to Figs. 1 and 2, there is illustrated a video gaming machine, generally designated by the numeral 20. The gaming machine 20 has a housing 21 containing a video display 22 and a number of player control buttons or other types of actuators 23. Typically, the gaming machine 20 will also include a wager-receiving apparatus, which may include a coin or token slot 24 and a bill or card slot 25. Typically, the gaming machine 20 will also be provided with a payout tray 26 and/or a slot for dispensing tickets or the like. Referring to Fig. 2, the gaming machine 20 includes a central processing unit (“CPU”) 30, to which it is connected player input devices 31, which may include the buttons 23 and the slots 24 and 25 and associated mechanisms. The CPU 30 is also connected to a display circuit 32, which includes the video

display screen 22, and to a memory 35, in which is stored a mathematical model 36 of the game and a pay table 37, as will be explained more fully below. The gaming machine 20 is illustrated in very simplified form, since further details are well understood by those skilled in the art and are unnecessary for an understanding of the concepts of this application. It will be appreciated that many variations of the gaming machine 20 are available.

The concepts and principles of the present invention will be described in the context of a pachinko game, a simplified play field for which is illustrated in Fig. 3, but it will be appreciated that the principles of the invention are applicable to other types of games in which an object is placed in motion in accordance with a set of initial conditions and proceeds to one of a plurality of outcomes corresponding to final resting conditions of the object. Other examples of such games are described above. Referring to Fig. 3, the pachinko game will have a play field 40, which will be displayed on the video display screen 22 of the gaming machine 20. The play field includes a ball launch chute 41, a plurality of obstacles on the play field, which may be in the nature of pins or pegs 42, and a plurality of final destinations for the balls, which may include a plurality of pay slots 43, respectively designated "Slot 1", "Slot 2", and "Slot 3", as well as a non-pay slot 44 designated "Slot 4." While only a few of the pegs 42 and slots 43 and 44 are illustrated for simplicity, in a real pachinko game, and in a video representation thereof, there typically would be many more of these items, as well as various other display features.

In the play of an actual physical pachinko game, a ball 45 is launched from the bottom of the launch chute 41, exits the launch chute in the direction generally indicated by the arrow in Fig. 3 and will eventually fall by gravity toward the bottom of the play field, colliding with one

PACHINKO GAME

or more pegs 42 or with the side walls of the play field along the way, and eventually falling into one of the slots 43 or 44. If a ball falls into one of the pay slots 43, the player will be awarded a certain win amount, which is generally a function of the likelihood of the ball falling into that slot. The highest likelihood, however, is that the ball will fall into the slot 44 which, accordingly, is a losing slot for which the win amount is zero. The game is typically played with a large number of balls which may be launched in rapid succession so that multiple balls are traversing the play field 40 at the same time. In this case, the balls can collide with one another, altering their routes along the play field. It will be appreciated that, in a real physical pachinko game, the routes of the balls can be controlled somewhat by the player who manually operates a launch mechanism, so that he can vary the force with which the ball is launched, the rapidity with which successive balls are launched, and, to a slight extent, the initial spin and direction of the ball. However, it will be appreciated that in a video version of the game, the initial conditions of each ball launch will be automatically governed by the gaming machine and, for any given ball launch, the only control the player has is to initiate the launch sequence and, therefore, control the time at which the launch occurs. In the video version of the game, an iconic representation of the ball is displayed and the game will simulate the physical movement of the ball along the play field and will display that simulated movement.

Das A7 A significant aspect of the invention is that the actual play of the video game is based, not on a stored collection of ball routes, but rather on a mathematical model of the game stored in memory, which model includes a number of rules which govern the physical movement of the ball, using known mathematical modeling techniques, to which are applied a set of initial

HORNIG & COOK

conditions under which the ball is placed in motion. In an actual physical game, it will be appreciated that this movement is affected by not only by the initial conditions or parameters under which the ball is launched, but also by the physical characteristics of the ball itself, the inclination of the play field, the physical characteristics of the play field, such as friction and the like, the arrangement of the play field boundary walls and obstacles and the like. Each time a ball collides with an obstacle, such as a wall of the play field, a peg 42, another ball or the like, the way in which its route will be altered will be a function of physical characteristics of the obstacle, such as shape, size resiliency, etc., and the trajectory, velocity and spin etc. of the ball at the time of collision. It can be seen that, in the physical game, these factors which affect ball movement are extremely complex and would be virtually impossible to completely accurately reproduce in a mathematical model. Thus, the model is necessarily somewhat simplified, but will, to the extent possible, represent realistic game conditions.

Also, as was indicated above, in the video version of the game, it will be necessary to provide a pay table to establish the win amounts corresponding to each of the possible outcomes of a given ball launch. In order to understand the techniques described below, the following terms and definitions are provided:

“Pay table development” – filling out all the data required for a complete pay table.

Different styles of pay tables will require different data. One pay table may require only a list of types of wins and the amounts paid. Other pay tables may require probabilities of those wins.

“Pay table evaluation” – determining the payout percentage for the pay table.

“Payout percentage” – the average percentage of money taken in that is returned to the

player. For a 90% game, on the average, a player can expect to win back 90% of the money he wagers.

“Game play” – applying the rules of the game model and the pay table to play a game and produce an outcome. The outcome is evaluated to determine the payout, as dictated by the pay table. That amount is then awarded to the player.

This application describes four basic techniques of developing a pay table and using it in the play of a game, although some of the steps of these techniques may be interchangeable, resulting in hybrid techniques.

Technique 1-Full Model Behavior

In this technique, the game model follows, as closely as possible, the behavior of a physical game. Since the model is deterministic, the outcome of the game is dependent only on the initial parameters of the game, i.e., the initial conditions of each ball launch, such as the speed, angle and spin of the ball. The following descriptions will be based on the assumption that these are the only relevant initial conditions, but it will be appreciated that other parameters could be added without affecting the technique.

In developing a pay table, there will likely be too many possible sets of initial parameters or conditions to test each set individually. For example, if each of the parameters speed, angle and spin may range from zero to 65,535, there are 281,474,976,710,656 possible sets of these three initial conditions and, therefore, possible ball routes or game plays. Accordingly, pay table development must be done using a Monte Carlo approach. In this approach, the game model randomly runs a large sample of games by randomly selecting a large number of sets of initial

conditions (millions or more) and running them through the model, recording the outcome for each one. For example, the value of each parameter speed, angle and spin is randomly selected from its range (e.g. 0-65, 535) of possible values to arrive at a set of initial conditions, which is then run through the model, and the process is reported. It will be appreciated that the number of possible outcomes is limited by the number of destination slots on the play field, i.e., in the example illustrated in Fig. 3, there are four possible outcomes for each ball route. After recording all of the outcomes, the program determines how frequently each outcome occurred and, thus, the probability of occurrence of each outcome, resulting, e.g., in data as set forth in

Table 1.

Table 1

Outcome	Probability
Slot 1	35%
Slot 2	9%
Slot 3	1%
Slot 4	55%
Total	100%

The pay table developer then assigns a win amount to each outcome, based upon its probability of occurrence, resulting, e.g., in a pay table such as Table 2.

Table 2

Outcome	Probability	Win Amount
Slot 1	35%	1
Slot 2	9%	5
Slot 3	1%	15
Slot 4	55%	0
Total	100%	

In order to evaluate the pay table, each win amount's contribution to the pay table percentage is computed as that win amount multiplied by the probability of occurrence of the corresponding outcome. The sum of all these win amount contributions is the pay table percentage. In this example, illustrated in Table 3, the pay table percentage is 95%.

Table 3

Outcome	Probability	Win Amount	Contribution to payable percentage
Slot 1	35%	1	35%
Slot 2	9%	5	45%
Slot 3	1%	15	15%
Slot 4	55%	0	0%
Total	100%		95%

The probability of occurrence information is inherent in the model and, therefore, need not be stored in the final pay table, which requires only the data set forth in Table 4.

Table 4

Outcome	Win Amount
Slot 1	1
Slot 2	5
Slot 3	15
Slot 4	0

This technique of pay table development may be summarized with reference to the program routine flow chart 50 illustrated in Fig. 4. At 51, the developer defines the physical parameters of the model and then, at 52, runs a Monte Carlo test to determine the probability of occurrence of each of the possible outcomes, using a random sampling of sets of starting conditions. The routine then checks at 53 to see if the distribution of outcomes is satisfactory, i.e., either provides a realistic simulation of actual physical game conditions or provides a distribution which will be conducive to generating player interest and excitement. If not, the developer may alter the physical model. Otherwise, the routine proceeds to 54, to assign a win amount to each outcome, based upon its probability of occurrence and then, at 55, determines the pay table percentage. The routine then checks at 56 to see if the pay table percentage is satisfactory e.g., to meet the requirements of maintaining player interest, while at the same time providing satisfactory return to the gaming establishment in which the video game is located. If not, the win amounts may be altered accordingly at 54. Otherwise, the routine is completed.

In order to play the game, the player would first activate the game by placing a wager, such as by inserting coins or bills into the appropriate slot 24 or 25. This would typically result

DRAFT - DRAFT - DRAFT - DRAFT - DRAFT

in the gaming machine 20 displaying on the display 22 a representation of the game play field and, possibly other indicia. A particular wager amount may entitle a player to a certain number of balls. Individual ball launches could be triggered by the player actuating one of the buttons or other control devices 23. Referring to the flow chart 60 in Fig. 5, when a ball launch signal is input by the player, the program routine, at 61, randomly chooses a set of initial parameters or conditions for the ball. This may be done by randomly selecting each parameter individually as described above. Then, at 62, the routine plays the game by running the selected set of initial conditions through the model until an outcome is reached. Then, at 63, the routine evaluates the final resting position of the ball, i.e., which slot it wound up in, to determine what outcome was produced and then, at 64, looks up the outcome in the pay table of Table 4 to determine the win amount. Then, at 65, that amount is awarded to the player. This is repeated for each ball launch, and win amounts awarded for the several balls are accumulated until the supply of balls is exhausted.

It will be appreciated that with this technique, the outcome of each play is completely unpredictable, i.e., the outcome is unknown until it is reached.

Technique 2 - Limited Initial Conditions

This technique is a modification of technique 1, described above. In technique 1, while millions of games are played in the Monte Carlo test, the only thing that is recorded is the outcomes in order to determine their probability of occurrence. In the limited initial condition technique, the model again compiles a list of thousands or millions of entries by randomly selecting sets of initial conditions and running them through the model to determine the

outcomes, but in this case both the initial conditions and the outcome are recorded, as illustrated in Table 5.

Table 5

Speed	Angle	Spin	Outcome
3245	9558	53835	Slot 4
3634	46742	65452	Slot 4
12	325	0	Slot 1
32432	54353	32212	Slot 2
23423	35345	21223	Slot 2
5412	2357	22349	Slot 3
... The list may contain thousands or millions of entries...			

The flow chart 70 for this technique is set forth in Fig. 6. As can be seen, the routine first, at 71, defines the physical parameters of the model and, at 72, creates the list of allowed initial conditions and determines their outcomes by running them through the model. The probability of occurrence of each outcome is then determined and listed, as described above, resulting in Table 6.

Table 6

Outcome	Probability
Slot 1	35%
Slot 2	5%
Slot 3	1%
Slot 4	59%
Total	100%

PATENT
SEARCHED
INDEXED
MAILED
SEARCHED
INDEXED
MAILED

Then, at 73, the routine checks to see if the distribution of outcomes is satisfactory. If not, the routine can either alter the mathematical model at 71 or alter the list of initial conditions at 72 by, for example, removing entries for outcomes that are desired to be made more rare, or adding entries for outcomes that are desired to be made more common. Alternatively, each set of initial conditions could be assigned an arbitrary probability of occurrence, which would then be applied when the game randomly draws a set of initial conditions during play of the game, as explained more fully below. However, it is preferred to add or subtract sets of initial conditions which will produce the desired outcome, since this produces a greater variety of games and preserves the random look of the full model behavior technique described above.

Then, at 74, the routine assigns a win amount to each outcome and then, at 75, determines the pay table percentage in the same manner described above, and then checks at 76 to see if the pay table percentage is satisfactory. If not, the win amounts may be altered at 74, otherwise, the pay table is completed.

The probability information is inherent in the list of sets of initial conditions and, therefore, need not be stored in the final pay table. The final pay table only requires the list of initial conditions i.e., Table 5 minus the outcomes, which are implied by the model and the list of outcomes and win amounts, as in Table 7.

Table 7

Outcome	Win Amount
Slot 1	1
Slot 2	8

TOP SECRET

Slot 3	20
Slot 4	0

Note that it would also be possible to add the win amounts to the list of sets of initial conditions, so that the outcomes and win amounts would be determined immediately upon random selection of a set of initial conditions, rather than waiting for the set of initial conditions to be run through the model to determine the outcome. However, that would consume much more space in memory, since the list of sets of initial conditions contains thousands or millions of entries or sets, whereas Table 7 has only four entries.

Referring to Fig. 7, there is illustrated a flow chart 80 of a routine for playing the game in accordance with this limited initial conditions technique of pay table determination. At 81, the routine randomly chooses a set of initial conditions from the list of sets. If the list has weighted probabilities associated with the sets, those probabilities are used in the random selection process. Then, the selected set of initial conditions is run through the physical model at 82 to a final resting condition or end point. Then, at 83, the routine evaluates the final condition to determine what outcome was produced and then, at 84, looks up the outcome in the pay table to determine the win amount and then, at 85, awards that win amount to the player.

Accordingly, it can be seen that in this method, only the sets of initial conditions listed in the pay table are possible of selection, whereas in the full model behavior method described above, any of possibly trillions of initial condition sets could be randomly selected. However, the number of sets of initial conditions in the list is sufficiently large that the distribution of

outcomes will be substantially the same as for the full model behavior technique.

Technique 3 - Discrete Paths

This technique starts with the full model of the real game, as outlined above, but breaks down the possible routes of the ball to a finite set of the most common route segments or paths. A number of such paths are illustrated in Fig. 8. More specifically, Fig. 8 designates paths by continuous lines terminating in arrowheads, a number of the paths being consecutively numbered. Each path has a beginning point and an ending point. Points that do not have any paths starting from them are designated as route end points. It can be seen that a ball route is made up of a series of connected paths, with all routes starting at point 1, which is the starting point for path 1, and ending with a path which terminates in one of the slots. It will be appreciated that Fig. 8 is greatly simplified, and that in reality there will be many more possible paths. However, Fig. 8 is sufficient to illustrate the principles involved. Thus, it can be seen that the play field is broken down into a number of paths and a number of points, such that at least one path starts from every point except a route end point, and at least one path terminates at every point except the route starting point (point 1).

Referring to Fig. 9, the flow chart 90 is a routine for developing a pay table in accordance with this technique. At 91, the routine first defines the physical parameters of the model as described above, and then, at 92, develops a list of paths for the object to take and assigns a probability of occurrence to each such path. This list may be developed by having the model sample millions of possible sets of initial conditions to produce the set of most common paths. Such a list of paths is set forth in Table 8, and includes the path number, the starting and ending

points of the path, and all relevant data required to specify the path. This data may be a list of points through which the object travels along the path, or a starting point and starting conditions. The preferred technique is to store a set of starting conditions for each path, such that applying those starting conditions to the model causes the object (ball) to traverse the path to its ending point. For example, referring to Fig. 8 and Table 8, it can be seen that path 1 starts at point 1 and ends at point 2, whereas path 4 starts at point 2 and ends at point 5.

Table 8

Paths					
Path Number	Starting Point	Ending Point	Initial Speed	Initial Angle	Initial Spin
1	1	2	23443	34221	1210
2	2	3	65234	365	343
3	2	4	474	5335	4
4	2	5	32	4365	854
5	2	6	44574	366	5436
6	5	6	4432	37	9665
7	5	4	5474	343	964
8	3	4	2233	3234	7895
...Table shortened for simplicity...					

As can be seen from Fig. 8, each point, except a route end point (in one of the slots) has associated with it a list of paths which may originate from it. The probability of occurrence of each path represents the likelihood of the ball taking that path from the point. Points that do not have any paths starting from them are designated as route end points. Each route end point has

an associated game outcome. Table 9 lists the first six points on Fig. 8, the paths that may start from each and the probability for each such path. Initially, these probabilities are assigned arbitrarily, except in the case of point 1, which has only one path leading from it, the probability of occurrence of which must, therefore, be 100%.

Table 9

Points		
Point number	Paths that may start from this point	Probability of each path
1	1	100%
2	2	30%
	3	20%
	4	40%
	5	10%
3	8	40%
	9	30%
	10	30%
4	11	50%
	12	50%
5	6	50%
	7	20%
	13	10%
	14	20%
6	15	100%
...Table shortened for simplicity...		

The routine then, at 93, determines the distribution of outcomes utilizing the subroutine 100 of Fig. 10. Referring to Fig. 10, the routine initially assigns a probability of 0% to each point, stores a true/false flag to tell whether or not the point has been evaluated, and initially sets the flag to “false.” Then, at 102, the routine stores each path’s probability, as well as a true/false flag to tell whether or not it has been evaluated, initially setting the flag to “false.” Then, at 103, the routine assigns a probability of 100% to the route starting point (point 1). There results a points list (see Table 10) and a paths list (see Table 11). Tables 10 and 11 represent the conditions of the points and paths lists the first time through the subroutine 100.

Table 10

Paths			
Point number	Probability	Evaluated	Paths that may start from this point
1	100%	False	1
2	0%	False	3
	0%	False	3
	0%	False	4
	0%	False	5
3	0%	False	8
	0%	False	9
	0%	False	10
4	0%	False	11
	0%	False	12
5	0%	False	6
	0%	False	7

	0%	False	13
	0%	False	14
6	0%	False	15
...Table shortened for simplicity...			

Table 11

Paths				
Path number	Starting Point	Ending Point	Probability	Evaluated
1	1	2	100%	False
2	2	3	30%	False
3	2	4	20%	False
4	2	5	40%	False
5	2	6	10%	False
6	5	6	50%	False
7	5	4	20%	False
8	3	4	40%	False
...Table shortened for simplicity...				

Then, at 104, the routine finds a point that has not been evaluated, which has no paths leading to it or where all the paths leading to it have been evaluated. The first time through this subroutine, the only point which meets these criteria will be point 1. Then, at 105, the subroutine sets the point's probability to the sum of the probabilities of all paths that lead to the point or, if there are no paths leading to the point (which is the case for point 1), leaves the point's probability unchanged, and then sets the point's evaluated flag to "true." Thus, at this point, Table 10 has been changed to list the evaluated flag for point 1 as "true," but is otherwise

DO NOT
DISTURB

unchanged. Then, at 106, for each path leading away from the point, the routine multiplies that path's probability by the point's probability and stores the product as the path's new probability, then sets the path's evaluated flag to "true." In this case, point 1's probability is 100% and the only path leading from it is path 1, which also has a probability of 100%. Thus the new probability for path 1 remains 100%, so that the only change in Table 11 is to change the evaluated flag for path 1 to "true."

The routine then checks at 107 to see if all points have been evaluated. If not it returns to 104 and again looks for a point that has not been evaluated and where all the paths leading to it have been evaluated. The only point which meets these criteria now is point 2, which has not been evaluated but which has all paths leading to it (path 1) evaluated. Since the probability of path 1 is 100%, the routine, at 105, sets point 2's probability to 100% and sets its evaluated flag to "true," changing Table 10 accordingly. Point 2 has four paths leading from it, viz, paths 2, 3, 4 and 5. Thus, at 106 of the routine, for each of those paths, its probability is multiplied by the probability of point 2 (100%) so that the initial probabilities of those paths remain unchanged, and then their evaluated flags are set to "true," changing Table 11 accordingly.

The next time through 104 of the routine, the only point which meets the criteria will be point 3, which has only one path (path 2) leading to it, which path has now been evaluated. Thus, at 105, the probability of point 3 is set to the probability of path 2 (30%) and its evaluated flag is set to "true," changing Table 10 accordingly, so that it now appears as in Table 12.

Table 12

Points			
Point number	Probability	Evaluated	Paths that may start from this point
1	100%	True	1
2	100%	True	2
			3
			4
			5
3	30%	True	8
			9
			10
4	0%	False	11
			12
5	0%	False	6
			7
			13
			14
6	0%	False	15
...Table shortened for simplicity...			

Point 3 has three paths leading away from it (paths 8, 9 and 10). Thus, at 106, those paths' probabilities are multiplied by the probability of point 3 and their paths' evaluated flags are set to "true", changing Table 10 accordingly, resulting in Table 13.

Table 13

Paths				
Path number	Starting Point	Ending Point	Probability	Evaluated
1	1	2	100%	True
2	2	3	30%	True
3	2	4	20%	True
4	2	5	40%	True
5	2	6	10%	True
6	5	6	50%	False
7	5	4	20%	False
8	3	4	12%	True
...Table shortened for simplicity...				

Thus, for example, the original probability of path 8 (40%) is multiplied by 30% to arrive at a new path 8 probability of 12% (paths 9 and 10 are omitted from the tables for brevity).

The subroutine 100 continues looping through 104-107 in this manner until all points have been evaluated. Then, at 108, the probability of each outcome is determined as being the probability of the route end point that results in that outcome. Then, returning to Fig. 9, the routine 90 resumes at 94 to check to see if the distribution of outcomes is satisfactory. If not, the physical model may be altered at 91 and/or the list of paths may be altered at 92. Otherwise, the routine at 95, assigns a win amount to each outcome and then, at 96, determines the pay table percentage in the manner described above, and then checks at 97 to see if it is satisfactory. If not, the win amounts may be altered at 95, otherwise, the pay table development is completed, resulting in a pay table percentage as illustrated in Table 14.

Table 14

Outcome	Probability	Win Amount	Contribution to payable percentage
Slot 1	35%	1	35%
Slot 2	5%	8	40%
Slot 3	1%	20	20%
Slot 4	59%	0	0%
Total	100%		95%

Referring now to Fig. 11, there is illustrated a program routine 110 governing the play of the game in accordance with this technique. When a ball launch is initiated by the player, the routine, at 111, starts at the route starting point (point 1) and then, at 112, checks to see if the current point has any paths leading away from it. In this case it does (path 1). Then, at 113, the routine randomly chooses one of the paths leading away from the current point, using the probabilities of the paths. In this case, there is only one such path (path 1) so it is necessarily chosen. Then, at 114, the routine traverses that path to the point (point 2) that it leads to and returns to 112. This time through the loop, the current point (point 2) has four paths leading from it so, at 113, the routine randomly chooses one of them in accordance with their probabilities, traverses it at 114 and returns to 112. The routine continues in this manner until, at 112, the current point has no paths leading away from it, signifying that it is a route end point. The routine then moves to 115 to evaluate the point and determine the final outcome and, at 116, awards the player the win amount corresponding to that outcome from the pay table.

Technique 4 - Reverse Play

In this method, the outcome is determined first, then the required initial conditions are

generated to produce that outcome. The game is then played from those initial conditions.

Referring to Fig. 12, there is illustrated a program routine 120 for pay table development using this technique. The routine first, at 121, creates a list of outcomes and then, at 122, assigns a probability of occurrence to each outcome. In the illustrated example, there are only four possible outcomes, and the assigned probabilities may be those set forth in Table 14. The routine then checks at 123 to see if the distribution of outcomes is satisfactory. If not, either the list could be altered at 121 to add or delete outcomes, or the probabilities could be altered at 122. If the outcomes' distribution is satisfactory at 123, the routine then, at 124, assigns a win amount to each outcome and then determines the pay table percentage at 125, resulting in a pay table such as that illustrated in Table 15.

Table 15

Outcome	Probability	Win Amount	Contribution to payable percentage
Slot 1	35%	1	35%
Slot 2	5%	8	40%
Slot 3	1%	20	20%
Slot 4	59%	0	0%
Total	100%		95%

At 126, the routine checks to see if the pay table percentage is satisfactory. If not, the win amounts may be altered at 124, otherwise pay table development is completed.

Referring to Fig. 13, there is illustrated a program routine 130 for a play of the game in accordance with this technique of pay table development. At 131, the routine randomly draws a

K
D
O
B
R
C
E
S
T
P
A
R
E
S
T

final outcome from the list of outcomes, based upon the probabilities of the outcomes. Then, at 132, the routine randomly generates a set of final conditions, such as final velocity, angle, spin of the ball associated with the selected final outcome. Alternatively, the pay table may have associated with each outcome a list of final conditions to choose from. Then, at 133, a routine runs the model backwards from the outcome, using the selected set of final conditions, to derive a set of initial conditions which would lead to that outcome. Then, at 134, the routine runs the derived set of initial conditions through the model to play the game forward, whereupon the game will end with the originally selected outcome. The routine then at 135 awards the player the win amount dictated by the pay table for that outcome.

In this technique, because the final outcome is known from the start, very fast evaluation is provided.

Discrete Paths, Reverse Play

As was indicated above, it is possible to combine steps from different techniques to arrive at hybrid techniques. As an illustration, the reverse play technique 4 may be combined with the discrete paths technique 3. In this case, the pay table is generated in the same manner as for technique 3, described above, but the direction of the paths is reversed. Each route end point is assigned a probability of occurrence, wherein the probabilities of all of the route end points add up to 100%, resulting in Table 16.

Table 16

Outcome	Win Amount	Route End Points	Probability
Slot 1	1	13	35%
Slot 2	8	14	5%
Slot 3	20	15	1%
Slot 4	0	16	59%
Total			100%

The calculation of the pay table percentage is the same as was described above.

The pay table stores lists of paths and points, as in technique 3, described above. The list of paths may be substantially the same as Table 8 above. However, there is associated with each point a list of paths that leads to it, rather than away from it, and the probability assigned to each path is the probability of that path being chosen as the path to use to get to the point, resulting in Table 17.

Table 17

Points		
Point number	Paths that end at this point	Probability of choosing the path
2	1	100%
3	2	100%
4	3	50%
	7	20%
	8	30%
5	4	100%

6	5	16%
	6	84%
...Table shortened for simplicity. . .		

Referring to Fig. 14, there is shown a flow chart for a routine 140 for playing the game in accordance with this technique. When a ball launch is activated by the player, the routine at 141 randomly selects a route end point, based upon the probabilities in the pay table, and notes the implied final outcome. Then, at 142, out of the list of paths that lead to that end point, the routine randomly chooses one according to the probabilities assigned to the paths. Next, at 143, the routine traverses the path in reverse direction to its starting point, recording the path as part of the route to be used. Then, at 144, the routine checks to see if the path starting point has paths that lead to it. If it does, the routine returns to 142 and continues in this loop until it reaches a point that has no paths leading to it, which must be the route starting point. Then, at 145, the routine plays the game forwardly from start to finish using the recorded route and then, at 146, awards the player the amount dictated by the pay table for the final outcome that was chosen.

As was indicated above, a game such as pachinko may provide an added complication in that it is possible for two or more balls to collide. In such a case, a number of different possibilities exists for handling a collision.

- (1) Award or bonus associated with the collision (the bonus could be preset or randomly drawn from a range of values). Then, swap the trajectories of the two balls that have collided such that each ball takes the other ball's trajectory and arrives at its outcome. This makes collisions easy to account for in pay table evaluation, since the final outcome is only

P
O
R
T
F
I
C
H

affected by the addition of a collision bonus.

(2) Award, as a collision bonus, the amount that each ball individually would have been awarded, had it continued on its initial trajectory without colliding. At this point, the payout is the same as if the balls had not collided, but had passed through each other. Then, either let the balls continue randomly from that point, to yield an additional outcome and payout, or assign the balls new trajectories (which could be held in a bonus pay table) to yield new outcomes. In either case, the final outcome of the balls affects the collision's bonus. To calculate the effect to the pay table, compute the probability of a collision and the probabilities and payouts of the different outcomes after the collision.

(3) Award no bonus payout due to a collision. Rather, award a bonus ball, the outcome of which produces an additional payout. The possible outcomes of the bonus ball could be stored in a bonus pay table.

It will be appreciated that other variations of the above-described techniques would be possible, but the foregoing techniques are described in detail to illustrate the principles involved.

The matter set forth in the foregoing description and accompanying drawings is offered by way of illustration only and not as a limitation. While particular embodiments have been shown and described, it will be obvious to those skilled in the art that changes and modifications may be made without departing from the broader aspects of applicants' contribution. The actual scope of the protection sought is intended to be defined in the following claims when viewed in their proper perspective based on the prior art.